



Strictly Confidential

Why professors and analysts are our best secret weapon.

BY **JACOB SHAPIRO**

OCTOBER 13, 2009



Everyone says an open, transparent government is the hallmark of a healthy democracy. But most reasonable people would agree that there must be limits to how transparent government can be. After all, no one wants sensitive national security secrets to fall into the wrong hands. When information shared in the name of good governance can be exploited by terrorists, many would argue the public's right to know pales in comparison to the public's need for protection.

But are openness and security really opposing values? When government officials curb access to information, they cut themselves off from the brain power and analytical skills of a huge community of scientists, engineers, and security experts who are often far better at identifying threats, weaknesses, and solutions than any government agency. The effort to cordon off

**Get The Power Of A
Lightening Bolt In
Your Hands For Free**



This Free Tactical Flashlight
Is The Only Tool you Need
To See In Total Darkness

[Claim Yours Here For Free](#)

TRENDING

MY FP

LATEST



Time Is Up on Rex Tillerson

MAX BOOT | 521 SHARES

experts from sensitive information has been dramatic, especially in the United States. An executive order signed by President George W. Bush in 2003 permitted — some say encouraged — the U.S. government to classify mountains of information. For the first time, basic infrastructure information was designated as a category of classifiable information. The increase in secrecy has been staggering: In 1996, 5.8 million documents were classified by the U.S. government. In 2005, that figure nearly tripled, to 14.2 million documents stamped "secret" or "confidential." Even more information has been kept from the public by an increase in the use of "sensitive but unclassified" markings, which operate without the legal constraints of the traditional classification system. In 2006 alone, just the number of categories under which a document might be labeled "sensitive" increased by 20 percent. But this added secrecy hasn't made anyone safer. In truth, it is leaving everyone more vulnerable to emerging threats.

Trending Articles

Top News from Foreign Policy

FP

Powered By 

Consider the efforts of Lawrence Wein, a Stanford University business professor, and his then graduate student, Manas Baveja. In research published in 2005, Wein and Baveja analyzed the effectiveness of the U.S. Visitor and Immigrant Status Indicator Technology, a fingerprint identification program designed to prevent



What the West Got Wrong in Syria

NIKOLAOS VAN DAM | 624 SHARES



The Real Threat to America Comes From Americans

KIM GHATTAS | 2300 SHARES



Trump Administration Threatens to Cut Aid to Pakistan. Does It Matter?

PAUL MCLEARY | 359 SHARES



Back to the Future in Afghanistan

JAMES STAVRIDIS | 280 SHARES

known terrorists from entering the United States. Using information on fingerprint readers from the Web site of the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), the federal agency that tracks the United States' technology infrastructure, they found that terrorists could take advantage of the system by reducing the image quality of their fingerprints. (Simply rubbing one's fingers with sandpaper would do the trick.) They also found an easy solution: Require people to present more fingers if their prints were poor. The fix made the program more effective even though terrorists knew exactly how the system worked. Immigration officials quickly adopted a version of Wein and Baveja's idea. But NIST responded by removing the information Wein and Baveja used for their analysis from its Web site.

Or, take graduate student Sean Gorman's 2003 dissertation at George Mason University. Gorman used public information to map the fiber-optic network of the United States, identifying critical choke points in the country's telecommunications infrastructure. Under pressure from government officials, Gorman ultimately agreed to redact many of his most sensitive findings. Today, parts of his research remain classified, and much of the information Gorman used is no longer publicly available. But this reaction misses what was so valuable about his study. In identifying dangerous



In Policy Reversal, U.S. Withholds Aid to Egypt

EMILY TAMKIN | 95 SHARES



How Not to Handle the Opioid Crisis

LAURIE GARRETT | 163 SHARES



The Man Without a State

EMILY TAMKIN | 2111 SHARES



Trump's Nuclear Crisis Was of His Own Making

NED PRICE | 1 SHARES



vulnerabilities, Gorman found efficient ways to remove them. Putting information behind lock and key does not make targets safe from attack. It leaves security analysts unable to find solutions to other weaknesses in the future. It also leaves government and industry less motivated to find safeguards of their own.

Harvard University's Efraim Benmelech and the RAND Corp.'s Claude Berrebi took up research on a project with unmistakable relevance to everyone's national security. Using publicly available data from the Israeli Security Agency, they found that not only are older, better-educated suicide bombers assigned to more important targets but that these bombers are deadlier in their attacks. Their analysis depended critically on having access to data about failed attacks, information that most other governments restrict out of fear that it can prove useful to terrorists. But understanding how terrorist groups assign operatives to missions does more to combat terrorism than the Israeli government's openness did to abet it.

Governments must do a better job of assessing when the benefits to sharing information will exceed the costs. The question should not be, "Can this information help terrorists at all?" It should be, "Will sharing this information do more to protect society than it will to help those who wish us harm?" In



autoweb

KIA
SOUL
DEALERS
JUST CUT PRICES!

[Browse Prices](#)



VOICES



Time Is Up on Rex Tillerson

MAX BOOT



Book review: 'American War' — a grim novel about a second

determining the trade-offs, common sense is the most natural guide. When governments don't fully understand a system's vulnerabilities, information should be shared so that analysts can find solutions before terrorists identify weaknesses. Similarly, information about known vulnerabilities should be shared when terrorists can easily identify the target.

The thinking capacity of a huge network of universities and research centers should be considered a national security strength, not a threat or nuisance that needs to be kept at arm's length. The academy's powers to analyze dangers and recommend safe, efficient solutions are stronger than that of the government, and infinitely stronger than that of any terrorist organization. When governments consider everyone a potential terrorist, they are insulating themselves from the brain power that should be our first line of defense.

American Civil War

THOMAS E. RICKS



Back to the Future in Afghanistan

JAMES STAVRIDIS



How Not to Handle the Opioid Crisis

MORE VOICES

Sponsored Links by **Taboola**

TRENDING NOW

YOU MAY LIKE SPONSORED LINKS BY TABOOOLA

**JOIN
PLAYSUGARHOUSE.COM
AND SPIN TO WIN!**
RUSH STREET INTERACTIVE

**HERE'S WHAT NEW DENTAL
IMPLANTS SHOULD COST**
SAVE ON DENTAL - ADS

Join PlaySugarHouse.com and Spin To Win!

Rush Street Interactive

Here's What New Dental Implants

MORE FROM FOREIGN POLICY

BY TABOOLA

**THE OUSTER OF MATTIS:
SOME FOLLOW-UP DETAILS
AND A WHITE HOUSE
RESPONSE**

**CHINESE STATE TV
ANCHOR LEARNS THE
DANGER OF WEARING AN
APPLE WATCH**

Should Cost

Save On Dental - Ads

**Princeton, New Jersey Drivers Surprised
By New Rule**

Quote Wizard Insurance



THE MAG



**The 1980s American
Soap Opera That
Explains How Russia
Feels About Everything**

MIKHAIL IOSSEL | FEATURE

German Philosophy Has Finally Gone Viral. Will That Be Its Undoing?

STUART JEFFRIES | FEATURE

Verdirbt Richard David Precht die Deutsche Philosophie?

STUART JEFFRIES | FEATURE

READ FULL ISSUE 

HIGHLIGHTS FROM **Slate**



Casual Racism Isn't All That Unites Trump and Arpaio. They Both Disdain the Rule of Law.



Taylor Swift, Mother of Snakes, Confirms New Music With an Album Title and Single Release Date



Trump's Phoenix Speech Went Off the Rails—and Into the New Normal



[ABOUT FP](#)

[MEET THE STAFF](#)

[REPRINT PERMISSIONS](#)

[ADVERTISING](#)

[WRITER'S GUIDELINES](#)

[PRESS ROOM](#)

[WORK AT FP](#)

[SUBSCRIPTION SERVICES](#)

[ACADEMIC PROGRAM – FP PREMIUM](#)

[FP ARCHIVE](#)

[BUY BACK ISSUES](#)

[GRADUATE GUIDES](#)

[PRIVACY POLICY](#)

[CONTACT US](#)